

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,572	04/21/2004	Steven A. McAuley	1001.1704101	5285	
28075	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER		
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOI	IS, MN 55403-2420		3763		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006	DATE MAILED, 12/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/828,572	MCAULEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Laura A. Bouchelle	3763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/2	<u>1/2004</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗀	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	A control of the cont					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are:						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		cation No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr						
	application from the International Bure						
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
	·						
Attachme	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🛛 Info	ce of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/04, 8/15/05</u> .		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

In response to applicant's telephone inquiry on December 8, 2005 regarding the last 1. Office action, the following corrective action is taken.

The period for reply of 3 MONTHS set in said Office Action is restarted to begin with the mailing date of this letter.

2. A completed copy of the last Office Action is enclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 7-9, 11-14, and 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Knorig (US 6264633) in view of Hart et al (US 6626861). Knorig discloses the limitations of dependent claims 7, 9, 14, 20, 26 and 27. Knorig discloses a balloon catheter comprising a catheter 10, a balloon 11, a guidewire lumen 18, and an inflation lumen 25, wherein the balloon has undulations that serve as gripping surfaces 12 to cling to the surfaces adjacent to the balloon. See Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 3763

5. Claims 1, 13, 25, 33-35 differ from Knorig in calling for the catheter to have a traction member. Claims 8, 21, and 28 call for the traction member to have a second end coupled to the balloon catheter. Claim 11 calls for the traction member to be coupled to the shaft. Claims 12 calls for the traction member to be coupled to the balloon. Hart discloses a balloon catheter comprising a traction member 36 with a gripping surface that provides traction within a vascular conduit or other passageway (Col. 2, lines 38-43). Further the proximal end 38 of the traction sleeve 36 is connected to the distal end 16 of the catheter tube 12, and the distal sleeve end 40 is connected to the distal end of the balloon (Col. 6, lines 59-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the balloon catheter of Knorig to include a traction sleeve with a gripping surface as taught by Hart so that the balloon has traction within the vascular conduit.

6. Claim 35 differs in calling for a method comprising the steps of advancing the catheter through a blood vessel and inflating the balloon. Hart discloses a method including the steps of providing a balloon catheter (Col. 11, lines 36-37), inserting the balloon catheter into the vascular conduit adjacent the obstructing material (Col. 11, lines 43-46) and inflating the balloon such that it is radially expanded sufficiently to contact the inner walls of the vascular conduit (Col. 11, lines 54-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the method of Knorig to include the steps of inserting the balloon catheter, and inflating the balloon as taught by Hart so that the balloon comes in sufficient contact with the vascular conduit to be treated.

- Claims 22- 24, and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knorig in view of Hart et al. Claims 22- 24, and 29-32 differ from the teachings above in calling for the proximal end of the traction member to be disposes at either the proximal waist, the midpoint, or the distal waist of the balloon. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the proximal end of the traction member disposed at any of the above stated locations. Applicant has not disclosed that having the proximal end of the traction member disposed in a specific location serves any advantage or particular purpose of solves a stated problem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill would expect the device of Knorig in view of Hart to perform equally well with the proximal end of the traction member disposed at any of the stated locations. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify Knorig in view of Hart to obtain the invention as specified in claims 22-24, and 29-32 because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Knorig in view of Hart.
- 8. Claims 2 and 15, and 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knorig in view of Hart et al as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Mareiro et al (US 6258099). Claims 2 and 15 differ from the above teachings in calling for the gripping surface to be bumps. Claims 6 and 19 call for the gripping surface to be spikes. Mareiro discloses a balloon catheter having a gripping surface comprising bumps (Fig. 5e) or spikes (Fig. 5c) to increase the retention characteristics of the balloon (Col. 4, lines 56-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the balloon catheter of Knorig in view of Hart to include gripping surfaces that are

Art Unit: 3763

either bumps or spikes as taught by Mareiro to increase the retention characteristics of the

balloon within the conduit.

9. Claims 3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knorig in view of Hart et al as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Bradshaw (US 6450988). Claims 3 and 16 differ from the above teachings in calling for the gripping surface to be defined by a helical region. Bradshaw discloses a balloon catheter, wherein the balloon comprises a region of helical lobes 26 with protruding knobs 30 that engage the vessel wall while allowing for a perfusion channel (Col. 4, lines 40-47). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the gripping member of Knorig in view of Hart to be of a helical shape as taught by Bradshaw so that the balloon engages the

wall of the vessel while allowing for perfusion through the channel.

10. Claims 4 and 17, and 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knorig in view of Hart et al as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Grayzel et al (US 2002/0010489). Claims 4 and 17 differ from the above teachings in calling for the gripping surface to be defined by a ridge along the body portion. Claims 5 and 18 call for the gripping surfaces to be defined by saw tooth projections. Grayzel discloses a balloon catheter comprising gripping member in the shape of a ridge 46 or alternatively in the shape of saw tooth projections 500. See Figs. 2 and 9G. These configurations facilitate the dilation of the target lumen by the expanding balloon (Page 4, paragraph 0053). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the gripping surfaces

Art Unit: 3763

of Knorig in view of Hart to be either a ridge or saw tooth projections as taught by Grayzel to

facilitate the dilation of the target lumen by the expanding balloon.

11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knorig in view of

Hart et al as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Campbell (US 5928193). Claim 10

differs from the teachings above in calling for the guidewire to extend distally out the end of the

guide lumen. Campbell discloses a balloon catheter wherein the guidewire 82 extends through

the lumen and past the balloon for rapid exchange of the guidewire (Col. 5, lines 1-4). See Figs.

7 and 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention to modify the balloon catheter of Knorig in view of Hart such that the guidewire

extends past the distal end of the guide lumen as taught by Campbell to allow for rapid exchange

of the catheter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,572 Page 7

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura A Bouchelle Examiner

Art Unit 3763

LAB